





Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965



Low-Energy Solvents for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Enabled by a Combination of Enzymes and Vacuum Regeneration

Sonja Salmon

NETL CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Technology Meeting July 30, 2014



## Notices

2

- ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF GOVERNMENT SUPPORT. This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-FE0007741.
- DISCLAIMER. This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.
- **COPYRIGHT NOTICE.** Copyright, 2014, Novozymes North America, Inc., Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, University of Kentucky Research Foundation, and Doosan Power Systems Ltd.

The use in this report of any copyrighted data owned by any of the above parties is authorized pursuant to the relevant contract between such party and Novozymes North America, Inc., relating to the Department of Energy Award Number DE-FE0007741. For such copyrighted data, the copyright owner has granted to the Government, and others acting on its behalf, a paid-up, nonexclusive, irrevocable, worldwide license to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and perform publicly and display publicly, by or on behalf of the Government, for all such data



# Agenda



- Project Overview
  - Partners, budget & objective
- Technology Background
  - Process concept
  - Fundamental mechanism
- Progress and Status
  - Project plan & accomplishments
  - Bench-scale system description
  - Parametric test plan
  - Parametric test results
- Conclusions & Next Steps



# **Project Overview**

4

Project Participants



- DOE Project Manager: Andrew Jones
- Project Number: DE-FE0007741
- Total Project Budget: \$2,088,644
  - DOE: \$1,658,620
  - Cost Share: \$430,024
- Project Duration: Oct. 1, 2011 March 31, 2015

**DOE Program Objectives** 

Develop solvent-based, post-combustion technology that

- Can achieve  $\geq$  90% CO<sub>2</sub> removal from coalfired power plants
- Demonstrates progress toward the DOE target of <35% increase in LCOE.

#### Novozymes in Brief – World Leader in Bioinnovation no Producing large volume enzymes for industrial applications





www.novozymes.com



# **Project Objective**

Complete a *bench-scale study* and corresponding full technology assessment to validate the potential in meeting the DOE Program Objectives of a *solvent-based post-combustion carbon dioxide capture* system that <u>integrates</u>

 $\mathsf{CO}_2 + \mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O} + \mathsf{K}_2\mathsf{CO}_3 \leftrightarrow \mathsf{2KHCO}_3$ 



- a low-enthalpy, aqueous potassium carbonate-based solvent
- with an **absorption**-enhancing (*dissolved*) carbonic anhydrase enzyme catalyst
- and a low temperature vacuum regenerator
- in a re-circulating absorptiondesorption process configuration





## CO<sub>2</sub> Absorption Mechanism





## Enzyme Enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> Absorption Mechanism





# Approach to Kinetic Model

- Improve existing Aspen kinetic model for  $CO_2 + OH^- \rightarrow HCO_3^-$ 
  - Include data representing a wider temperature range than prior model
  - Include the effects of ionic strength on rate
  - Correct existing reverse kinetics to provide agreement with equilibrium model predictions at temperatures <70°C.</li>
- Include a parallel rate expression for  $CO_2+2H_2O \rightarrow H_3O^+ + HCO_3^-$ 
  - Model enzyme effect by accelerating this reaction, not hydroxide reaction

Comparison of equilibrium constants predicted by equilibrium model and precorrection kinetic model.





# Project Plan & Accomplishments

Task	Status/Result	Reporting
1 – Management & Administration	Within budget; Project focused on vacuum stripping when flow thru ultrasonics gave < needed results	Current per requirements
2- Process Optimization	<ul> <li>Preliminary targets met</li> <li>Batch-mode ultrasonics tests conducted</li> <li>Enzyme-solvent absorption kinetics met target in WWC</li> <li>Bench-scale system designed, incld. vacuum regen</li> </ul>	CCTM 2012 29 <sup>th</sup> IPCC
3 - Initial Technical & Economic Feasibility	<ul> <li>Versus DOE Base Case 10, identified opportunities for</li> <li>55% lower parasitic load with ultrasonics</li> <li>43% lower parasitic load with vacuum stripping</li> </ul>	BP2 Continuation
4 - Bench Unit Procurement & Fabrication	Prototype flow-through ultrasonic unit built & tested; Constructed bench-scale absorber with vacuum stripper	12 <sup>th</sup> CCUS CCTM 2013
5 - Bench-scale Integration & Shakedown Testing	<ul> <li>Shakedown testing w/vacuum stripping completed</li> <li>Bench-scale system build completed &amp; operational</li> <li>90% capture achieved with 30°C absorber; 30 SLPM gas flow; 78°C reboiler; 20 wt% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>; 3 g/L Enzyme</li> </ul>	BP3 Continuation
6 - Bench-scale Testing	<ul> <li>Parametric testing completed</li> <li>Selected baseline conditions for 500 hr test &amp; obtained data for kinetic model</li> <li>Rate-based simulation for vacuum stripping</li> <li>Framework for the kinetic model established</li> <li>500 h testing currently in progress</li> </ul>	CCTM 2014 AIChE 2014
7 - Full Technology Assessment	<ul><li>TEA and EH&amp;S in progress</li><li>Bench-scale results provide input to the assessment</li></ul>	Completion 1Q15



## Bench-scale Unit Description



Flow Rates

- Gas: 30 SLPM (15% CO<sub>2</sub>, humidified)
- Liquid : 300-600 ml/min
- Liquid Temperature
  - Absorber Inlet: 30-40°C
  - Stripper Inlet: ~65°C
  - Reboiler Oil Inlet: 90-95°C
- Stripper Pressure: 0.35 atm absolute
- K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> Concentration: 23 wt%
- Enzyme Concentration: 0 4 g/L



PFD of Integrated Bench-scale System





# PFD of Integrated Bench-scale System





# PFD of Integrated Bench-scale System





15



## Bench-scale Operational Observations



#### Absorber bottom

#### Stripper top

#### Stripper bottom

#### Absorber

- Stable temp along absorber length (40°C ± 1°C)
- Antifoam dosing effectively mitigates foaming
- No visual change in packing
- Rich solvent filter removes (modest) solids

#### Stripper

- Water cooled condenser at top
- Tube and shell reboiler
- Bulk temp ranges from 76°C (reboiler) to 65°C (rich solvent inlet to stripper top)



## Shakedown: Enzyme Dose Impacts CO<sub>2</sub> Capture



Each bar represents average data collected over 3 run days, with ~4.5 hours steady-state operation during each run day. System is shut down overnight. Solvent remains in reservoir and is reused for next run day.



## Parametric Test Matrix

Each condition was evaluated over 2-3 run days

Run	Enz. conc. (g/L)	Flow rate (ml/min)	Hot oil inlet (°C)	Absorber (°C)	Pressure at stripper top (atm absolute)	Condition for long term test
1	2.5	500	95	40	0.35	
2	2.5	600	95	40	0.35	-
3	2.5	400	95	40	0.35	
4	2.5	300	90	40	0.35	
5	4	500	90	40	0.35	
6	4	300	90	40	0.35	
7	1	500	90	40	0.35	No-enzyme
8	1	300	90	40	0.35	reference condition
9	0	500	90	40	0.35	ł
10	0	500	95	40	0.35	
	variable	variable	variable	fixed	fixed	_



## Selected Parametric Test Results

	#1	#10
Enzyme Dosing, g/L	2.5	0
Liquid Flow Rate, mL/min	500	500
Feed Gas Temp, °C	40	40
Reboiler Solution Temp, °C	77	76
Lean Solvent Temp, °C	40	40
Outlet CO <sub>2</sub> Conc, %	1.9	12.4
Total Gas Flow, LPM	30	30
Hot Oil Inlet Temp, °C	95	95
Q, Reboiler, KW	1.1	1.1
Capture Efficiency (%)	89%	19%
Energy Demand (kJ/mol CO <sub>2</sub> captured)	382	1611
Stripper Top Pressure, kPaa	35	35
Rich Conversion	54%	43%
Lean Conversion	35%	39%

Results shown are average values from duplicate runs for each test.



## Impact of Enzyme Conc. and Liquid Flow Rate





# Enzyme Longevity Observations

- Positives
  - Even though enzyme is exposed to high temperatures in the stripper, dissolved enzyme replenishment is successful in maintaining system performance
  - Confirmed that current enzyme candidate in dissolved form could well tolerate exposure to temperatures below about 60°C
- Challenges
  - Current enzyme is deactivated at the higher temperatures in the stripper, especially suspect is the reboiler tube surface temp
- Potential mitigation: Immobilized enzyme
  - Hold in absorber (if temp in regenerator is too high)
  - Shield enzyme from direct contact with heating coil skin



# Current Enzyme Temperature Stability



- Lab-scale, closed loop tests evaluate enzyme longevity during recirculation between 40°C and higher temp.
- Suggests reboiler bulk liquid (~76°C) and especially heating source skin temperature (90-95°C) results in enzyme activity loss.



### Enzyme Replenishment for Parametric Tests



- Conservative 20% volume replacement used to ensure performance for parametric testing.
- Offline enzyme activity analysis and agreement among 2-3 day replicate runs on bench unit indicate stable bench unit performance.
- Both sufficient enzyme plus reboiler heat input were needed to achieve highest % capture; high enzyme activity alone could not replace heat input requirement.
- Lower enzyme activity corresponded to lower % capture performance.
- Replenishment rate refinement planned for long term testing with conditions from Parametric Run P1 – with 89% capture.



# 500 Hour Long Term Test

- Baseline conditions
  - 40°C absorber
  - 95°C reboiler heating source temperature
  - 0.35 atm absolute stripper top pressure
  - 500 ml/min liquid flow rate
  - 30 SLPM gas flow rate; 15% CO<sub>2</sub> inlet (humidified)
  - 2.5 g/L enzyme dosing
- Daily solvent replenishment
  - Enzyme replenishment: 20% solvent volume replacement (initially)
  - Antifoam dosing: 0.04% (together with above)
- Preliminary observations
  - Enzyme activity is stable at current replenishment rate
  - Pressure drop increasing in stripper due to foaming
  - Energy measurement is only relative (within the unit), not absolute



# Conclusions and Next Steps

- Conclusions
  - 30 SLPM benchscale unit is operational and providing unique test data for low P/low T stripping with enzyme-enhanced K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-based solvent
  - Parametric testing resulted in selection of 500 hour test conditions currently operating at 85-90% capture
  - Current enzyme longevity is significantly diminished by travel through stripper, but can be mitigated for test purposes by replenishment program
- Next Steps
  - Conduct 500 hour testing
  - Complete kinetics-based process simulation and ASPEN models
  - Prepare full TEA and EH&S assessment
    - 4 plant model cases defined for full TEA, based on bench-scale test results
    - Process emission and effluent streams and species identified for EH&S and preliminary risk assessment in progress
- Potential Future Developments
  - Improve enzyme (apparent) temp stability, guided by TEA stripper conditions
    - Immobilization or chemical modification to create physical barrier to unfolding
    - ID alternate enzyme candidates and/or protein engineering to improve T stability
  - Evaluate options for increasing liquid loading capacity





# Thank You

#### Acknowledgements

#### **DOE-NETL** Andrew Jones

#### **Pacific Northwest National Laboratory** Charles Freeman (PM/TL), Mark Bearden Greg Whyatt

#### UK-Center for Applied Energy Research

Kunlei Liu (PM), Kun Liu (TL), Guojie Qi Reynolds Frimpong

#### Doosan Power Systems

David Fitzgerald (PM), Jonathan Slater (TL)

#### Novozymes

Sonja Salmon (PI/PM), Alan House (TL) Erin Yarborough